

Communication from Public

Name: Terry Meador Hargrave

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 07:26 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles. 2. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces are used by so many of your constituents and I urge you to keep solely available for the recreation of your constituents and their families who need safe and clean open spaces. 3. Please do not give homeless people ocean front housing, but instead preserve it for the thousands and thousands of people who deserve a beautiful place to go as a break from their hard working lives. 3. I urge you to build permanent campuses with necessary supportive services for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. Lease inexpensive land for this purpose. 4. Please VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 5. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name: The Lynch Family

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 05:24 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: Re: Vote NO on Motion 21-0350 NO homeless encampments at Will Rogers Beach or other Los Angeles public parks and beaches
My family and I are Los Angeles residents. Elected officials are aware that more and more Angelenos do not feel safe to take walks alone or visit parks or let their children enjoy playgrounds because of being threatened, physically accosted, spit at, or because of the debris, needles, drug paraphernalia and human waste found in those areas due to growing segments of the unhoused population. Sadly, the unhoused include those who may be down-on-their-luck or unemployed, military veterans, the mentally ill, addicts, or criminals. Recently, there have been fires put out by the LAFD, a murder, and an attempted murder at the VA Brentwood sidewalk encampment—along with ongoing crimes in the surrounding area. A fire sparked from an encampment racing through drought-ridden park lands would be disastrous, and likely the City would be liable. For those reasons, we OPPOSE the use of any Los Angeles public parks or beaches or their parking lots for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of individuals and families with children who are tax paying citizens and residents. Access to public recreational spaces are even more important during the ongoing pandemic and start of warmer weather. We demand permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. Please vote NO on Motion 21-0350. Thank you for listening to and representing your tax paying constituents.

Communication from Public

Name: Richard Burton

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 05:28 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: Hello, This is in regards to the proposed housing of the homeless in the Will Rogers Beach parking. I strongly oppose this action for the following reasons: The area is actively and frequently used by the residents of Pacific Palisades as well as citizens of Los Angeles, surrounding cities and tourists from all over the world. It is one of two recreational areas for families in our town, the other being Pali Park. It is used for children's day camp. If housed there the homeless will inevitably jay walk across PCH endangering not only themselves but the drivers on PCH. They will also use Potrero Canyon to access the town for food and supplies. There is no crosswalk at Potrero Canyon. Potrero Canyon and the surrounding Bluffs are high fire risk areas, this will also endanger the homes in the area. Homeless not included in the housing will inevitably spill over or expand into the bluff area creating additional fire danger. This is all ready a problem we've been dealing with and fires have been started there as a result of homeless encampments. This proposed area is along our wonderful Marvin Braude Bike Trail and housing homeless along it will potentially endanger the cyclists that utilize the path. There have been reported incidents of angry homeless people pushing shopping carts into the paths of cyclists and severely injuring them. In my encounters with homeless over the last 20+ years I've come to the conclusion that the majority are mentally ill, have serious substance abuse problems or both. An institutional setting seems like a much wiser and humane approach to this problem, as well as being better public safety policy. I know the attitude of many is that Palisadians just don't want to help with the homeless situation, this is not true as we do try to help the ones that are here. The simple truth is that our area has no industrial areas that could be appropriate and only two areas for recreation for our citizens. It is a poor choice for a project like this and would disproportionately impact our town compared to others with more appropriate resources. Thank You, Richard Burton

Communication from Public

Name: Cheryl King

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 04:45 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: This is in response to Councilman Bonin of the 11th District's plan to turn Dockweiler Beach, Pacific Palisades beach and marina del Rey beach parking lots into homeless encampments. Summer is upon us. If we lose access to daily beach parking this will hurt our city revenue. Where are the local daily beach goers supposed to park? Do we really want parents traipsing through homeless beach encampment parking lots carrying their beach items and trying to corral toddlers who could take off, pick up dropped syringes or other drugs and hurt themselves with it? Plus it is now tourist season. Do we really want to showcase all of our homeless along our beautiful coast that in years past has brought many out of state as well as foreign tourists to our beaches? With respect to Dockweiler beach again, this beach is used for camping by Californians as well as out of state tourist who make reservations and again is a lucrative revenue source for the City. Again Bonin wants to lose this revenue source and have our beach destroyed with trash, syringes, feces and God knows what else. There are many empty buildings that could be converted into homeless shelters. With respect to Westchester Park, again it is a revenue source for the city when families rent out part of the park for family reunions, Birthday parties, etc. the homeless have pretty much taken over this park and it is no longer available for local residents and tax paying citizens. The park is trashed with debris, alcohol and drug are rampant throughout the park. On numerous occasions visitors/residents have threatened or accosted. I have personally been threatened there. I have heard that several tennis lessons have had to be cancelled due to the unsafe environment from the homeless. Thus in turn hurts the tennis teachers ability to earn income. For these reasons and more, Bonin's plan for our beaches and local parks should not be adopted.

Communication from Public

Name: Ariana Welington

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 03:50 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: Please do not allow this by our beautiful beaches and children.

Communication from Public

Name: Norma Maloney
Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 03:51 PM
Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: The proposal to allow camping of any sort and tiny homes on any of our state beaches and waterways is not a solution to the homeless problem. it will only hurt the overall economy as taxpaying citizens and tourists will stop coming to these areas to enjoy the majestic beauty we have here . Families will not feel safe to come enjoy a day at the beach. I know I don't go to Venice anymore or ride my bike that far south now that it is a encampment because it is not safe . Also the very idea that there is room to do this is ludicrous . Every nice day and all weekends the parking lots are full wiht people eating to come in and they pay for that privilege to park there . I do believe there need to be a humane solution to this issue but that is not encouraging encampments like we see in Venice Beach to dominate the area and destroy it . The money being allocated needs to be spent properly to help provide health services , treatment and job training for those who are in need . Don't just set up a another skid row in our city albeit on the best beaches in the world . This Proposal must be stopped !

Communication from Public

Name: Melissa

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 03:11 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: Hello, This measure to host the homeless in our most cherished, safe public spaces such as Will Rogers beach area, is completely unacceptable. Our families, young adults and children live just feet away from this area, not to mention the public elementary and high schools in walking distance! This area is frequented and enjoyed by the community within and outside...the taxpayers! 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles, Pacific Palisades. 2. I completely OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. As it is, I must walk with my daughter through the rows and rows of tents and trash at the previously distinguished Westwood Park so that she can play soccer on the turf field with her coaches and teammates. It's beyond awful, destroyed. 3. I demand permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with FULL public approval. Lancaster & Palmdale are wonderful options for shelters. There is an abundance of land, plenty of room for shelters, and it is away from our cities, parks & beaches that we all work so hard to live near and enjoy. 4. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 5. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name: Robert Erickson
Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 03:31 PM
Council File No: 21-0350
Comments for Public Posting: Beaches/Parks are not for housing, this terrible idea and makes me want to leave CA!

Communication from Public

Name: ANDY COHEN

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 03:32 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: We need to take care of our homeless. I am a CASA and do everything I can. However I feel the Will Rogers site is bad one. It's at a public beach that people enjoy 365 days a year. If the parking lots are used, where are we supposed to park? There are a few spaces on the PCH, but can you imagine a hot summer weekend day w thousands slowing down to find parking. This will make the worst possible traffic worse and potentially block emergency vehicles going thru. Let's work together to help the homeless without hurting a very popular place. ANDY COHEN
11333 BOLAS ST LOS ANGELES, CA 90049

Communication from Public

Name: Tricia

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 01:55 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: I oppose to have the homeless anywhere near palisades. Inland impire? Woodland Hills? Anywhere but the beach we work so hard on making safe for our kids

Communication from Public

Name: Maureen Mitchner

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 01:59 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: Please ensure that there is public parking in the beach communities of Pacific Palisades, Marina del Rey and Playa del Rey. The residents and taxpayers of LA County all enjoy the use of the public beaches. I don't understand how using the parking lot for such small numbers of homeless helps our community. In LA County there are now 70,000 homeless. Where has the money for Measure H and Measure HHH gone? The taxpayers have paid their fair share over the last few years and yet our public officials continue to do very little. The public beaches are for the public. Mr. Bonin seems to do very little except criticize those constituents that disagree with his policies or lack there of. I won't even comment about Mr. Ridley Thomas seconding the motion. He essentially did very little for the homeless while serving on the LA County Board of Supervisors.

Communication from Public

Name: amy stanton

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 02:00 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: Absolutely opposed to this. No! No!!

Communication from Public

Name: Matt Kunitz

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 01:25 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: As a resident of Pacific Palisades I am writing to express my extreme concern with the possible plan to create and or allow mini-homes to house homeless at the beach parking lot of Will Rodgers Beach. The beaches of Southern California are a huge tourist attraction and bring billions of dollars into our communities. If we allow them to be taken over by the homeless we will lose a huge part of what makes Southern California so special. Let's use some of that revenue to solve the mental health issues that are making so many of them homeless. Allowing a homeless camp at the beach is a terrible solution for the homeless issue we are facing. It puts our children who use the beach at danger and becomes a blight on the community. In addition, there are no services within at least 1-2 miles from that parking lot. Please do not allow this to move forward.

Communication from Public

Name: Jo Ann Klein

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 01:16 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles. 2. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. 3. I demand permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. 4. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 5. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name: Sue G.

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 01:37 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: I am a resident of Los Angeles. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. I demand permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name: Jordan G.

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 01:38 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: I am a resident of Los Angeles. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. I demand permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name: Rachel Weber

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 02:47 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles. 2. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. 3. I demand permanent campuses to be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. 4. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 5. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name: Lauren Battaglia

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 02:50 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: The policies that Bonin and Mayor Garcetti have implemented when it comes to the homeless population defy common sense and are ruining communities for tax paying, law abiding citizens. In the last year, I have watched my small beach community be overridden with RV encampments, tents, and human waste. Not to mention crime sky rocketing with packages and bikes constantly being stolen. I can't walk through my neighborhood without seeing human feces with toilet paper in it. I feel like I live in a 3rd world country and it only seems like it will get worst with Bonin's ridiculous proposals of tiny homes being built on our beaches. We pay some of the highest taxes in the country and this city is always a mess due to horrible policies that make NO sense. Large low income housing facilities should be build in commercial/industrial areas away from residential areas. The RVs and tents should be located there as well until more facilities can be built. Why should our property values and quality of life be diminished because people decide to plop down wherever they like. We are a country of laws and they should be enforced. Let's start solving problems that are routed in common sense instead of penalizing people that follow the rules with these ridiculous progressive policies that are more concerned about protecting the rights of people that break the rules than those that abide by them.

Communication from Public

Name: Jessica Liu

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 02:59 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: The proposed solution to allow homeless encampments at Will Rogers beach and park is NOT a solution, but will rather create safety issues for individuals and families who are at the beach and park as well as surrounding neighborhoods. Please stop pandering to politics, wasting tax payer dollars, and develop practical solutions. The homeless need services that address mental health, joblessness, addiction and subsidized housing. Allowing the homeless to camp out in parks, beaches, sidewalks and streets is NOT a solution, but a detriment to all. Public safety should be a priority along with education. Stop blaming the “rich” and wasting taxes collected towards ineffective solutions or undeserved raises for politicians. Mr. Bonin and cohorts are sadly ineffectual in their roles, the homeless problem has only grown under their watch.

Communication from Public

Name: Jessica Liu

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 02:59 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: The proposed solution to allow homeless encampments at Will Rogers beach and park is NOT a solution, but will rather create safety issues for individuals and families who are at the beach and park as well as surrounding neighborhoods. Please stop pandering to politics, wasting tax payer dollars, and develop practical solutions. The homeless need services that address mental health, joblessness, addiction and subsidized housing. Allowing the homeless to camp out in parks, beaches, sidewalks and streets is NOT a solution, but a detriment to all. Public safety should be a priority along with education. Stop blaming the “rich” and wasting taxes collected towards ineffective solutions or undeserved raises for politicians. Mr. Bonin and cohorts are sadly ineffectual in their roles, the homeless problem has only grown under their watch.

Communication from Public

Name: Robert O Klein

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 03:01 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles. 2. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. 3. I demand permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. 4. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 5. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name: noemia young

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 02:10 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: As a resident of Los Angeles. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. I demand permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. Place Mentally ill in hospitals . Drug addicted and alcoholics in rehab facilities. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 Thank you for listening to your taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name: JF

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 02:25 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles. 2. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. 3. I demand permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. 4. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 5. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 01:28 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: Please do NOT create homeless encampments on the Westside at Dockweiler Beach, Westchester Park, Balona Creek, Marina del Rey locations, and Will Rodgers Beach. Homeless housing should be provided at existing hotels and low cost housing at locations that will not destroy existing public parks and beaches. Also, low to no cost housing for the homeless needs to be spread evenly over the entire city, NOT CONCENTRATED on the Westside!

Communication from Public

Name: Laura Uehara

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 04:43 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: I live in Westchester and can no longer use the work across the street due to safety with all the homeless camps that have been set up. My husband has walked through the park s few times to head to his dad's house behind the bowling alley and gotten threats from homeless within the park. I also want to give my son tennis lessons but tennis coaches don't feel it is safe any longer with all the homeless that have threatened children previously. Please can you do something about it and setting up the homeless at encampments in the parking lots of the beaches is s terrible idea. There are other areas people can be housed within Los Angeles County instead of the prime areas residents and tourists visit in the County and that we pay a lot for in property taxes to be able to use the parks and beaches.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 06:02 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles. 2. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. 3. I demand permanent campuses to be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. 4. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 5. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name: Ellen Taratoot Friedmann

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 06:17 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles. 2. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. 3. I demand permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. 4. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 5. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name: Stephen Maine

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 06:38 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles. 2. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. 3. I demand permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. 4. VOTE NO on Motion21-03505. 3. How wpold the homeless if allowed to camp in the Will Rogers Beach Parking lot going to obtain Food, Water, obtain adequate bathroom facilities along with sanitization services Thank you for listening to your taxpayer

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 06:45 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles. 2. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. 3. I demand permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. 4. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 5. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name: Kim Kowsky

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 06:56 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles. 2. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. 3. I demand permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. 4. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 5. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name: Charles Adams

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 06:58 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: The beach is a sanctuary to be enjoyed by everyone not occupied by a few.

Communication from Public

Name: Jeanne Ruderman M.D.

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 07:03 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles. 2. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. 3. I demand permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. 4. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 5. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name: Philippe Milgrom

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 07:06 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles. 2. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. 3. I demand permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. 4. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 5. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 07:10 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles. 2. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. 3. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 4. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 07:16 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: I've resided in Venice since 2003. I am empathetic to anyone who is down on their luck and having trouble finding a way out. I have been there myself. But enabling is never the long term answer. Venice has lost it's identity during this homeless takeover. I no longer feel safe walking out to the beach, which is one block from my apartment. Both my car and my boyfriends car have been vandalized with considerable damage. A walk to my car, which is usually around 5 blocks (not very much parking in Venice) usually entails dodging feces and trash. A lot of the homeless are from other States. They know the policies are lax and they can set up camp on a nice beautiful beach front with out any problems. This is not a lack of affordable housing problem. There are plenty of places to live in the United States that are affordable for someone on a low income. I know that because I have lived in several other States. I moved according to what was best for me. I live paycheck to paycheck and work extremely hard to live in Venice. The proposed plans for having designated spots for encampments should be temporary if not at all. There is too much coddling happening.

Communication from Public

Name: Susan Alarab
Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 07:37 AM
Council File No: 21-0350
Comments for Public Posting: OPPOSE!

Communication from Public

Name: Steve P

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 07:37 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles. 2. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. 3. I demand permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. 4. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 5. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name: Sheryl Amster

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 07:40 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: Please let's work together to find a way to fund proper housing for the homeless and keep our parks and beaches safe.

Communication from Public

Name: Laura Kasha

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 09:11 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles. 2. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. 3. I demand permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. 4. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 5. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name: James

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 08:01 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: I have been a resident of LA for over 10 years. Part of our happiness and reason for living here are the beaches. Los Angeles is blessed with such natural beauty and the beaches are enjoyed by local families, working families and out of state visitors. Given the high cost of living and recreation and entertainment, the beaches and oceans are one of the last cherished affordable life enjoyments that many residents from all of over the county can enjoy with family and friends. Please keep the beaches clear and clean for the overwhelming public use and not as an housing alternative. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: John Maysles

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 08:24 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: This plan is preposterous. Will Rogers is a family beach. Not just for the residents of the Palisades, but for the many hard working families of all races and income levels that come from all over Los Angeles to enjoy it. Please don't destroy it! Listen to your constituency. Not a single reasonable taxpaying citizen around here (or anywhere else if they get wind of it) wants this plan.

Communication from Public

Name: Michelle Bergren

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 08:35 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: I am a resident of Mar Vista and I strongly oppose the use of parks and beaches for "safe camping" or tiny houses. We have accommodated the unhoused in parks and sidewalks as a temporary solution during the COVID pandemic and while the City, County and State developed a plan to provide resources to these individuals. Living in parks, on beaches and on streets and sidewalks are not solutions and the people currently residing in these areas should be moved out ASAP. Moreover, this is not a good use of taxpayer dollars nor is this a compassionate solution. Los Angeles has limited public outdoor space to recreate and this should be preserved for the public to use safely and cleanly. The City, County and State should use their resources and their time to develop long term solutions to provide resources to help these individuals. I'm disappointed that years have gone by and this is the proposal offered.

Communication from Public

Name: Olivia Jorgensborg

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 08:40 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles. 2. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. 3. I demand permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. 4. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 5. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name: Jennie Dean

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 08:54 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles. 2. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. 3. I demand permanent campuses to be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. 4. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 5. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name: Robert Mallory

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 08:58 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: My wife and I have resided in Playa del Rey for 45 years, raising 4 children while occupying 3 different homes over this period. We have consistently supported both with our time and our dollar's community improvements, projects and schools. We are sensitive to the tragic plight of homelessness both in our community and citywide, and have regularly donated time and dollars to housing, clothing and feeding homeless individuals and families as worthy citizens in need of assistance during difficult times, including most recently the economic downturn caused by Covid-19. However, we are now saddened to watch our relatively few parks and more abundant beaches overcome with tents, campers and occupants creating filth and anxiety among residents invested in this community and working to raise families and support public recreation facilities and schools. Temporary camping and small houses overwhelming parks and beach parking areas is a foolish, shortsighted and dangerous response to homeless housing. Public officials who support these measures should redirect their voices and votes to creating long-term solutions to housing and accessing public health services in an effort to move our burgeoning homeless population toward self-sufficiency and away from living in squalor.

Communication from Public

Name: Vanessa Bendetti

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 09:25 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: I am a resident of Los Angeles. I OPPOSE the use Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing homeless. These spaces need to be kept clean and safe for recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. We must also protect the values of the residential properties in the area, which provides significant property tax income to the city, and we must ensure local beach areas remain clean and safe for tourism, which also significantly contributes to our local economy. I demand permanent campuses be built to appropriately and healthfully care for and shelter the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. I am concerned for the true well-being of those without shelter. Moving this community to beach parking lots is not the answer. Better and long-term solutions must be the goal. I VOTE NOE on Motion 21-0350. Thank you for listening to your tax payers.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 09:32 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles. 2. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. 3. I demand permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. 4. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 5. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers. 6. When I first heard of this idea I thought it was a joke. People need real help and this is not a solution. 7. There are so few Safe places for families to congregate in this city. My daughter has stepped in feces, my 6 year old son picked up a needle. 8. Use the money from H and work this out in a sustainable way. Please!

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 09:35 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: Please clean up Westchester park for children and families to enjoy safely

Communication from Public

Name: Greg Chambers

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 09:52 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: To think that we can solve the homeless crisis by taking away our public parks and beaches is delusional and dangerous. I understand why homeless people like to live at the beach, but there isn't an inherent right for them (or any of us) to live in the nicest part of Los Angeles. We need to establish large, centralized housing with services for those who are willing to accept it. Since many of these people do not work and have no community connections, the centralized location could be in an area with much more space and at much lower cost, such as Palmdale. Those not willing to relocate should not be allowed to live in public areas, because they have been offered safe housing with services at no cost. This could happen in a matter of months with temporary tent facilities, followed by the proper investment of already-committed funds to provide for permanent facilities. Get help from the Army Corps of Engineers and we could have housing for thousands of homeless people within a month.

Communication from Public

Name: Ted Weitz, Resident of CD-11

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 09:55 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles. 2. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. 3. I demand permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. I suggest a homeless community center be set up at the once proposed LAX site in Palmdale, where there is room to operate medical, vocational training, psychological counseling and drug rehabilitation facilities to be set up. This benefits the homeless community and protects residents of Los Angeles. It will take care of current homeless while dissuading homeless from across the country from coming to our streets to live. The City must also enforce vagrancy, outdoor camping and illegal parking regulations to preserve, or should I say restore, the quality of life in Los Angeles, which has now become "Los Homeless". 4. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 5. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name: Julie Lansing

Date Submitted: 04/13/2021 11:54 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: Writing to complain of the homeless men who live in tents at Lagoon Park and Westchester Park. I'm writing on behalf of a group of local women who walk near both parks. We have been harassed and intimidated regularly by homeless men in these two locations. You are hereby informed of these dangerous locations and will be held legally liable if we are hurt due to your failure to act after being informed. Dated 4/13/2021

Communication from Public

Name: Katherine Maynard

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 10:17 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles. 2. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. 3. I demand permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. 4. I VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 5. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name: Katherine Maynard

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 10:17 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles. 2. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. 3. I demand permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. 4. I VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 5. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name: Maricle

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 11:10 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: LA certainly has a huge problem of homeless that can't simply be solved by designating housing for them. While the areas of the beaches do not directly affect me, I see how it will quickly degrade the areas that were proposed in the PDF. Not only that, but I do not see a benefit to the homeless to put their temporary (semi-permanent) housing out on Will Rodgers State Beach or Dockweiler Beach. They will be far from recourses that could help them and closer to trouble. I read a large percent of LA's homeless choose to be in the central metro area. Moving them all the way to the beach would isolate them from cheaper food, transportation, clothing, and outreach resources. Motorists tend to speed on Pacific Coast Highway if given the chance, the area has poor lighting at night, and what would prevent new residents from regularly bathing (and defecating) in the ocean? In the case someone is hit by a car, the amount of regular traffic would hinder quick help from arriving at the scene. The motion seems like it will neither be beneficial to the wealthy beachfront property owners OR the homeless population. Venice Beach is a nightmare – and getting worse. Homeless even come inland on Venice Boulevard (many of these do drugs, I have witnessed it). Downtown LA isn't much better. I chose to relocate from my Culver City residence because of the homeless along Venice Boulevard would wander into the neighborhood and mess with me walking or my parked vehicles. As far as solutions go, as I was doing some research, I found several buildings that are under development as new high-rise apartments. I am honestly surprised at how little high-rise multi-family apartments LA has to offer considering the density. High rents play a roll in keeping homeless on the streets I assume. Hopefully, there are more thoughtful places that can be designated for the homeless and more programs to help them get off the streets (should they desire).

Communication from Public

Name: LAURA

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 11:12 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: I am a resident of Pacific Palisades in LA , And I OPPOSE homeless encampments at Will Rogers Beach. It is simply dangerous on many levels

Communication from Public

Name: Santa Monica Canyon Civic Association

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 10:03 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: Please see attached communication from the Santa Monica Canyon Civic Association (SMCCA) to Councilman Bonin and Members of the Homelessness and Poverty Committee.

April 14, 2021

The Honorable Mike Bonin
The Honorable Mark Ridley-Thomas, Chair
The Honorable Kevin De Leon
The Honorable Joe Buscaino
The Honorable Monica Rodriguez
The Honorable Nithya Raman
Los Angeles City Councilmembers

via e-mail to mike.bonin@lacity.org
via e-mail to councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org
via e-mail to councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org
via e-mail to councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
via e-mail to councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org
via e-mail to contactCD4@lacity.org

RE: CF #21-0350

Dear Councilmember Bonin and Committee on Homelessness and Poverty:

The Santa Monica Canyon Civic Association (SMCCA) opposes the use of public parks and beach parking lots to provide housing for homeless persons. While we certainly recognize the immediacy of the homeless crisis facing Los Angeles, we feel there are better approaches to house homeless persons than the City has been using to date. We therefore urge the Committee on Homelessness and Poverty to reject the motion and in its place instruct the CAO to study focused on finding the most appropriate locations in all of CD 11 to meet the demands placed on the District to find locations to house the homeless and that beach locations and public parks be specifically excluded from the study.

Particular emphasis should be placed on rehabilitating and repurposing existing buildings, such as hotels, motels, unused commercial and office buildings that are more appropriate locations for housing people and providing necessary on-site services.

As the City looks for appropriate sites to house homeless persons, we believe site selection should address the following questions:

- Are adequate support and social services available on site?
- Are grocery store and food options easily accessible?
- Are there nearby fire hazards?
- Is the location safe for both residents at the site and neighbors?
- Is adequate sanitation available?
- What guarantees do residents at the site and neighbors have that the promises made by the City will be upheld?

The site selection process should also provide for community input and public review.

SMCCA has supported the Pacific Palisades Task Force on Homelessness (PPTFH) since its inception and is proud of its success to date in arranging permanent housing for 90 formerly homeless persons, removing 141 formerly homeless persons from the streets and keeping our Canyon hillsides safe from encampments and the fire dangers they present. PPTFH is an outstanding example of what is possible at a local level to both meet the needs of homeless persons and maintain safe neighborhoods.

Santa Monica Canyon Civic Association

cc: Los Angeles City Clerk for CF 21-0350
Mayor Eric Garcetti
Honorable Sheila Kuehl
Pacific Palisades Community Council

Communication from Public

Name: Susan Alarab

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 10:29 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles. 2. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. 3. I demand permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. 4. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 5. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 10:31 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles. 2. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of the general public and their families. 3. I demand permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. 4. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 5. Thank you for listening. Mike and Mary

Communication from Public

Name: Andrew C. Friedmann

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 10:46 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles. 2. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. 3. I demand permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. 4. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 5. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name: Kelly Wallace

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 10:55 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles 2. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. Los Angeles parks and beaches are a major attraction of both domestic and foreign tourists and this motion could have a seriously NEGATIVE impact on Los Angeles businesses. 3. I demand that permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. Support and rehabilitation services need to be included for the homeless on these campuses. 4. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 5. Thanks you for listening to your taxpayers

Communication from Public

Name: Sean Gorman

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 10:55 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles 2. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. Los Angeles parks and beaches are a major attraction of both domestic and foreign tourists and this motion could have a seriously NEGATIVE impact on Los Angeles businesses. 3. I demand that permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. Support and rehabilitation services need to be included for the homeless on these campuses. 4. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 5. Thanks you for listening to your taxpayers

Communication from Public

Name: Brett Roth

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 11:22 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles. 2. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. 3. I demand permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. 4. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 5. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name: Marcia Horowitz

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 11:36 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: I am a resident of Los Angeles. I oppose use of LA public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of all tax paying citizens and their families. It is your responsibility to build permanent campuses for the care and shelter of the homeless -- including mental health and physical health services. These campuses should be built at scale, with full public approval. Vote NO on Motion 21-0350. Thank you for listening to taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name: Nina Kidd

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 11:13 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: I am a resident of Los Angeles. I oppose the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. I demand permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale and with full public approval. Vote NO on Motion 21-0350. At a Pacific Palisades Community Council Zoom meeting April 8, 2021 CD11 Councilman Mike Bonin presented the plan to shelter homeless persons in the parking lot between PCH and the Will Rodgers Beach (administered by LA City as a public park). Of the over 400 persons in attendance, only one, a minor high school student, expressed approval of any part of it. This was NOT, as some claim, a NIBMY reaction. The safety of, treatment of and very lives of homeless persons who already try to camp on our beaches is at constant risk. The loss of safety on the bike path adjacent to the lot has already been seen in Venice. Please re-think this ill-conceived plan. Thank you for listening to the taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 11:17 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: Strongly reject the idea of establishing homeless encampments on specific beach sites in LA County. All one needs to do is take a look at the area around the VA in West Los Angeles, under the overpass at Venice and Sepulveda Blvds. and the Venice Beach area to witness the amount of trash, unsafe and unsanitary conditions caused by the Homeless. The City turns a blind eye to all this as local neighbors rightfully complain about the trash, foul smells, and unsafe conditions that these areas now experience. My question is why the beach? The one area that the general public can enjoy particularly in the summer months is now to be shared with individuals who have no concept of the law, health standards and sheer disregard to the rights of their neighbors. The beach which is major attraction point for the general public and tourists alike. Would you want to go to a beach that has a bunch of tents, discarded needles, crime and smells like a sewer? The City must deal with the homeless and should look to places that can offer a safe and secure area for both the encampments as well as the neighbors. The old Palmdale airport site would be an area that could work as an example.

Communication from Public

Name: Vibeke Rosenberg

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 11:57 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of the City of Los Angeles. 2. I OPPOSE the use of our public parks and beaches for housing of the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. 3. I request that permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless with full public approval. 4. Vote NO on Motion 21-0350. Thank you for your consideration .

Communication from Public

Name: Jason Price

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 11:59 AM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles. 2. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. 3. I demand permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. 4. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 5. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name: christina ritzmann

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 12:01 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles. 2. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. 3. I demand permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. 4. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 5. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name: Peggy

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 12:01 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: I would like to recommend a "YES-IF" alternative to the proposal to use (among other locations) the Will Rogers State Beach Parking Lot to "house" and "support" the homeless. We need a solution that works for both the short and long term, and improves the conditions for both the served and those living in the communities that have been and will be affected. Yes- IF: we have confirmation that there will be complete enforcement (and a zero tolerance policy) for anyone choosing not to change their living arrangements, Yes - IF: we have confirmation that those housed in the areas are NOT a personal threat to anyone in the area Yes - IF: we have confirmation there will be adequate services and a path out of homelessness for them (including specifically medical, legal and job training services) Yes - IF: we have confirmation there will be no adverse impact on the fragile ecosystem of the area Yes - IF: we have confirmation these people will be fed, clothed and sheltered adequately Lots of IF's, and every one is important. Please see details attached, including an alternative to be considered. Thank you. Peggy

There has been a lot of discussion around the issue of people in Los Angeles living in non-traditional places, including in vans, cars, tents and under blankets (otherwise referred to as “homeless”).

Many of the proposed “solutions” include physical locations for “containment” – both for the safety of the community and to provide services to those people being “contained”. These “containment” locations include: safe parking, project roomkey, hotel rooms, tiny houses, safe camping, etc. There have been a couple of these locations recently that have included provisions for food, medical attention, sanitation stations, and other “services”.

I have been wrestling in my mind with the various discussions on “Next door” and other local forums, and I have personally seen many current group “encampments” and individual “squatter” locations in and around the West Los Angeles and Beach areas. I am struck by the number of people who finding a DOG (or other domestic animal) wandering around in the street will bring it home, take it to a vet and attempt to find the owner--- and will be praised for exhibiting such care and compassion for a creature that was apparently either helpless or out of their normal “home”. At the same time, the disdain and vitriol (and lack of compassion or care) for finding PEOPLE wandering around in the street is a shocking comparison. Note that I am NOT arguing that individually we should treat “out of place” people the same way we treat “out of place” animals, but for us as a society I find the difference in relative value disheartening. Somehow we have reached a point where it is “ok” for a person to be in conditions we would not permit for a dog. THIS IS NOT OK.

I am NOT going to vote to “no” for the discussions around solutions including using Will Rogers State Beach Parking Lot to “house” homeless, much as I think it is not a good solution, but am going to attempt to make a couple of arguments for a “YES – IF” discussion – focused on the IF’s. In other words, IF this is going to happen anyway (looks like they have already met in “secret” and voted to move forward – a subject for another day), THEN how do we make sure we end up with a solution that WORKS for both the short term and the long term and improves conditions for BOTH those being served and those who live in the communities that have been affected.

We “know” based on what we see and read that there are many reasons why people live on the streets. We “know” that some of those people do not want and will not voluntarily change their living arrangements. The current thinking is that approximately 40% of people in those situations will not be served by the proposed solutions, thus the determination that the “solution” only needs to accommodate 60% of the number of people living on the streets. This, in my opinion does NOT solve the problem.

These “IF’s” are based on the proposed solution to use the Will Rogers State Beach Parking lot in Pacific Palisades for SOME TYPE of “containment” of currently un-housed people. I would consider supporting this proposal **IF the following**:

FIRST IF – IF this solution is enacted, THEN we need confirmation that there will be complete enforcement against anyone choosing to not change their living arrangements. Where are they to go, who will physically remove them and their “stuff” – and for those with mental illness who will be responsible for keeping them contained somewhere other than on the streets or in the hills. This has been a very long-term issue and will require changes in the LAW (since currently you can not treat someone with mental illness without their permission – which generally they are incapable of giving). THIS IS A BIG IF, and must be addressed BEFORE going forward with the current plan.

SECOND IF – If this solution is enacted, THEN we need confirmation that people “housed” in that area are NOT a personal threat to any of the following: anyone on the bike path, the beach, drivers on PCH (by people jaywalking across PCH or walking along the highway), businesses along PCH, etc. This will most likely require physical containment (i.e., fencing), with monitored ingress/egress by those who are “housed” there. This may also require constant monitoring for guns and other weapons, drugs, history of physical abuse, etc. Any time people live in a “contained” area, they will want to be “out”, and we need some confirmation as a community that their being “out” will not create a danger. In Pacific Palisades today we have the PPTH (Pacific Palisades Task Force on Homelessness) that works tirelessly with our local Police – they KNOW every homeless person in the community and are constantly monitoring them as well as offering and providing services – including help “out” of their current situations. This is another CRITICAL IF, since it is possible this will increase the number of “homeless” people in our community. How will they be contained and how will they be monitored.

THIRD IF – if this solution is enacted, THEN we need confirmation that there will be adequate SERVICES and a path to getting them “out” of homelessness. There is no point in just “containing” people if we don’t provide services and a specific path for them to move out of that situation. Because the physical space in the Will Rogers State Beach Parking lot is limited, what services are being considered, will they be available day 1 and who will be providing them, tracking and monitoring the results. How will people “graduate” out of this location, and how will they be tracked and supported following “graduation”. Probably the most important service will be LEGAL services – these people will need JOBS in order to survive on their own, in most cases they can not get jobs because of lapses in employment or legal issues. That MUST be addressed and resolved if we are to END the cycle of homelessness for those who WANT to get out of that cycle.

FOURTH IF – if this solution is enacted, THEN we need confirmation that there will be no ADVERSE impact on the fragile ecosystem of the area – specifically the beach and the Santa Monica Bay. (I assume they will not be allowed to cross pacific coast highway and walk into the hills – the historic “meth hill” is across PCH from this location, which is another issue entirely). There needs to be adequate facilities for personal hygiene, and for many of these people there needs to be oversight to be sure the facilities are being used regularly and appropriately. What are the plans for those who do not currently practice good hygiene, and what are the plans for providing hygiene facilities and supplies?

FIFTH IF – if this solution is enacted, THEN we need to know how these people will be FED, CLOTHED, and SHELTERED. On Maslow’s Hierarchy of Needs (food, clothing and shelter are the foundational building blocks on which any further societal progress can be made). Depending on the number of people “housed” at this location, this will require significant resources to provide, manage and prevent

from becoming an attractive place for rats (that DO live in the rocks near the beach – from personal experience!) and the ever-present sea gulls. All of which bring their own issues of disease, etc.

These are a lot of difficult “IF’s”. I would like to propose an alternative that addresses many of the IF’s – here comes.

We have closed some military bases. Although I am not from a military family, I have a rudimentary knowledge of a “base” – which includes: officer housing, enlisted housing, food prep and serving places, general merchandise shopping areas, office buildings, police, fire, sanitation and other types of services.

SOME people want help getting out of whatever bad situation they are in, and some people DON’T want help, they just want to keep doing what they are doing.

I would sort people into those wanting help (they live in the “officers” areas) those not wanting help (they live in the enlisted section).

In the Office’s section (wanting help), require regular drug/alcohol testing/counselling, and provide anything they want / need to keep moving forward, including JOB TRAINING. They should have JOBS in whatever field they are interested in (see below).

In the Enlisted section (not wanting help – either ever or just not yet) – allow them to live however they want, provide food, clothing, shelter, drugs, etc. Let them live in like “opium dens” if that is what they want. Keep them physically safe, and continue to offer services, but don’t require anything.

For “base” management, bring in police, fire, sanitation, etc., STAFFED by professionals AND those in the “officers” section – so those folks get job experience and require the police / fire / sanitation businesses to hire these folks when they get out as long as they continue to stay clean.

For food – have 2-3 CHAIN restaurants on site with professional management AND those in the “officers” section – so those folks get job experience. Require the chain restaurants to HIRE these folks when they get out as long as they continue to stay “clean”. This provides job and career pathing for folks who can then break the cycle of homelessness caused by inability to earn a living.

For food (if possible) have a farm / garden operation, grow as much as possible, and teach folks how to work in agriculture – again, with required jobs when they get out.

For “retail” shopping, again, bring in a retail CHAIN with professional management AND those in the “officers” section – so they get job experience in retail. Require the retail chain to HIRE these folks when they get out, as long as they continue to stay “clean”.

THIS would help break the cycle of homelessness / joblessness and crime.

For Medical services, there needs to be both a clinic and probably more concentrated specific services to treat the medical issues arising from living on the streets. Again, staff with professional management,

and add those in the “officers” section so they get training and job experience and require them to be hired when they get out as long as they stay clean.

For drug / alcohol addiction treatment, same thing, but I would ask some of the sober living places to set up remote locations on base so that once people “graduate” out, they can continue with their meetings, etc. on the outside.

For mental health services – same thing.

Please let’s consider some alternatives that address and break the root causes of this problem, rather than trying to “contain” SOME of it while letting the rest of it fester.

Thank you for your consideration.

Peggy Light

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 12:03 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: I am a resident of Los Angeles. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. I support permanent campuses being built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. Please VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name: Rusty Redican

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 01:07 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: First off..... Will Rogers State Beach, or really anywhere in the 24.6 square mile, mostly single residence, mostly residential area of the Pacific Palisades, are NOT viable places for these resources and encampments... this idea is quite simply not a pragmatic one. I have been working on this front, here in the Palisades and on Will Rogers State Beach since 2016. Coming in to work at all hours of the day and night, to ensure mission success. This crisis didn't happen overnight, and will not be fixed overnight. But every day we defer, the problem and despair gets worse and worse. I am just a Police Officer. But I too have a family member who has battled addiction, depression and homelessness. So I see them, in every single person I interact with who is experiencing homelessness. It is not compassionate to continue to ignore and allow those who are sick and addicted, to slowly die on the streets. It's easy for some to talk about homelessness in the abstract, it is a whole different matter, to sit down daily with people who are experiencing that despair, and feel the despair they are going through on a daily basis. I have spent a massive amount of time, and emotional capital, on and off duty, helping our fellow Men and Women, experiencing homelessNess. Sometimes that includes talking them out of suicide. I may not have any degrees of higher learning in these issues, but I would put my practical application, successes and abilities in these issues down as prima facia evidence of my bonafides. I am willing and eager to physically help this City corse correct the squalid homelessness crisis, that has been allowed to get worse and worse. Especially here in Los Angeles. Solutions focusing on correcting corse on Homelessness is not a one size fits all. Yes, each person needs to be treated fairly based on the individual and their circumstances. The City should move to create a short term committee look at best practices, then to quickly move on turning this into action. As a start the City, County and State should focus on these changes like, but not limited to, the following: -Update Mental Health Legislation (first and foremost) - Thresholds for Holds -Increase minimum hold/observation times for realistic observations (a true 72hr, 6 weeks depending on mental illness severity) - LPS Conservatorship - Probate Conservatorship -Rescind and change Prop-47, Prop-57, with respect to mandatory, secure rehabilitation, counseling, job training for hardcore

narcotics related offenses. -Immediately identify all City, County and State buildings, property and parking lots (for safe parking programs) not being used, and reconfigure for housing, safe parking and all applicable wrap around services. -Drastically Increase Social Services involving counseling for the homeless who are in the pipeline to get off the streets. Rebuilding self-esteem is vital. -Enforce all No-Loitering and No-Camping laws and infractions -Create a City run program to help people get back home to their families in other States, if that's what is preferred and is feasible in them in getting help. -More Job corps programs to train, retrain or assist our fellow citizens in getting back into the work force (this is especially vital, in helping those recently homeless, who are living in their vehicles, trying desperately to keep their noses above water.) - Federal funds and attention from FEMA and other Applicable Governmental agencies.... (there are an overwhelming amount of people who are living on LA streets that originate from other states. The breakdown of where they are originally from, varies depending on what part of the City you are in. On the west side, areas west of Downtown, as well as in the Valley, there are an overwhelming amount of people that are from outside of Los Angeles, and more specifically outside of the State of California. If we don't get working now, and utilize the overwhelming amount of good people out there who have been galvanized and who want to genuinely help people get a hand up, then we are squandering an massive opportunity with momentum, to act and save lives. I had to shorten my entry based on word count... I'm eager continue to work with anyone to help end this crisis. Respectfully, Rusty Redican

Communication from Public

Name: Jennifer

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 01:10 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: Bonin should not have the right to ruin another town of law abiding citizens. We can't visit Venice or Santa Monica anymore because it's not safe and he is trying to make it so that we can't even leave our homes that we work SO HARD FOR. Enough is enough. Someone who is thoughtful and competent should be helping our communities rather than destroying them. We feel like prisoners here which is WRONG.

Communication from Public

Name: Elynor Chiu

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 12:25 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: I am a long time resident of the Westside and a native to Los Angeles. In the past 7 years, it is absolutely heartbreaking to the state of our neighborhoods devolve into such a state of chaos and lawlessness due to the ill-defined "homeless" issue and therefore a misguided issue of "housing". It is not just about housing but rather the drug abuse and mental health issues as well as the failure to enforce laws. This motion fails to address the root cause of the problems and ignores the horrible, dangerous, and shameful state into which Venice, Brentwood, and countless other communities have disintegrated after implementing the current "housing" solutions. Show us good working solutions to the root cause FIRST and perhaps we can start a discussion on where to place these services; please do not put the cart before the horse. Therefore, I strongly OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. Please VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350. Thank you, Elynor Chiu

Communication from Public

Name: Corinne Dinner

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 12:43 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: I'm concerned about councilman Bonin's proposal of safe camping for unhoused people in Mar Vista park. This not only seems like a potentially unsafe solution for the children who use this park, but also incredibly inefficient. Considering how many people need to be given shelter at this point, there can only really be enough space allocated at the park for what, 20 tents? 25? That's not going to solve the problem even temporarily. I would very much like to see LA's unhoused population get housing, care, outreach and compassion, however, this is not the answer. There are clearly issues with mental health and drug addiction that apply to a higher percentage of the unhoused population, and this just creates an unpredictable environment. This is just not right for a children's park.

Communication from Public

Name: Aileen Haugh

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 10:05 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: As a resident of Los Angeles I am asking Mike Bonin and the city council to VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350. I have watched the local efforts of my Pacific Palisades community dealing proactively with homelessness with an effective, compassionate plan. The current proposal does not deal with the complicated real world issues that require more than dumping people on beaches and in communities with no comprehensive support system. Our local beaches welcome visitors of all ages, not only from local communities but all over the city, country. This isn't nimbyism—it's common sense. It's not a well thought out plan!

Communication from Public

Name: Lorena

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 10:08 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: I oppose this idea of housing the homeless in our beaches. I moved to Pacific Palisades because it's a safe, family oriented, beautiful city. I worked very hard to be able to buy a home here for my family. I can't believe Bonin thinks it's ok to ruin our neighborhood and beaches!!! Please STOP !!!

Communication from Public

Name: Steven Dersh

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 10:52 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles. 2. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. 3. I demand permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. 4. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 5. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name: Karen Mawell

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 10:58 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles. 2. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. 3. I demand permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. 4. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 5. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name: Anne Arikian

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 10:58 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: I am opposed to using Mar Vista Park for homeless encampments. My husband and I have lived in Mar Vista since 1999. We are raising four children here. In the past we were daily users of the park. The children all attended Mommy and Me in the gym, all the kids learned roller blading at the park, and every child played soccer and basketball for countless seasons. In addition, we have had many birthday parties and family gatherings at the park. I used to walk my dogs around the perimeter of the park almost every day. Pre-pandemic we gradually started visiting the park less due to the increased presence of homeless individuals as well as unruly men in groups who were drinking. At one birthday party we were harassed by a homeless man to the point where I had to ask the park staff to intervene. We had to stop using the play structure because we no longer felt it was safe for our children. I could not let my sons use the mens restroom because I was worried for their safety when a homeless person was in there. Over the past year the situation has gotten exponentially worse. We no longer visit the park at all, and I don't walk my dogs there. The few times I have ventured to visit, I have been appalled at the filth around the tents, especially near the tennis courts, where someone has set up a bicycle repair shop. In addition to the tents, there are still the groups of men drinking, and now there are also large parties playing loud music especially at the roller rink. The soccer field is routinely being used by individuals and groups who are not authorized to be there. Meanwhile, my children have to play in the street outside our house, and we just hope they don't get run over by a speeding vehicle. If we want to go to a park, I drive to Santa Monica's Clover Park, which doesn't seem to have any issues with encampments. I am a family physician and I worked at Venice Family Clinic for over 10 years serving LA's homeless. In my current position at UCLA, I still care for patients experiencing homelessness. I know first hand that many of these individuals have complex reasons for being unhoused, and they need and deserve comprehensive wrap-around services as well as safe and stable housing. I don't believe most of the residents in the current tent encampments at Mar Vista Park would want or appreciate the types of services Bonin's proposal has outlined, nor do I have any faith that the Councilman's efforts will in any way better these

individuals' quality of life. What this proposal will do is further erode the quality of the park and its usability by my family and by my neighbors. It is truly a sad loss for our community and especially for our children, who have already lost so much during this pandemic. Los Angeles County should look to the example of the City of Santa Monica on how they have approached this complex problem- while not perfect by any stretch, SM has shown a commitment to providing tangible services to their indigent population while protecting the quality of life of housed residents. I believe Los Angeles needs to use existing buildings to provide services and expand successful programs such as Project Roomkey. Parks and beach parking lots are not the answer.

Communication from Public

Name: Alice Wilson

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 08:40 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: I strongly oppose the proposal to use the Will Rogers parking lot as a housing settlement for the homeless. I oppose this use at or near any of our public parks and beaches, which are intended for public use. A homeless encampment does not allow safe use of the beaches and/or parks by families and children or the elderly. They are a shared resource enjoyed by tax paying Angelenos and visitors. Permitting housing there will limit parking and discourage visitation. Further, this poses a fire risk, putting many homes and businesses in danger. Also, the presence of many homeless near major roadways - Sunset, Temescal, PCH leads to an unsafe driving environment. These roads are the major thoroughfares for people to travel to/from or through the area. This will exacerbate an already difficult traffic situation. Homeless people need help and there are many other locations that provide cost effective solutions and safe environments. Many options have been stated by others in their public comments. Homelessness is a serious issue for our city, but this is not the solution. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 5. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name: Janis Gallo

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 08:49 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: I understand the push to do something about the Homeless situation in LA in all of the Council districts. But let's really address the issue as it is! These people are either mentally ill or so down financially that they cannot afford rent in the county or the city. First let's start with the mental illness and drug addiction. Giving them a home on the beach, is only going to enable them and encourage more to come here as living outside with our weather is not so bad compared to the rest of the country. Michael Bloomberg had a program that was successful when he was mayor of NYC. He use already abandoned or vacant buildings that had all of the necessities (water, shelter, plumbing, etc). After housing them he then had each person assigned a social worker to determine what services were needed... a doctor for meds in case of mental illness, relocation to their former home, relocation to an affordable area of the region for housing and jobs, etc. After a period of time to get the person " on his own feet", the individual moved on and sometimes went back to the community where family lived for support. They were given a bus or plane ticket to go "Home". If they came back to the City in time as homeless, they were sent back "Home". Enabling is one of the worst things you can do to destroy a person, anyone in the field of mental health will tell you this. That is one thing. The other is why destroy the family recreational area of most of LA county??? It is not fair or wise to destroy the healthy recreation of families at the beaches. We can see what happened in Venice, that we cannot control what is happening with these people when they are in their encampments, they need help to return to society. Encampments on the streets or the beaches are the same thing! Get them in doors in health monitored facitiies like the empty buildings downtown, army bases, etc. The infrastructure is already there, we just need them medical staff and social worker to help them in structured situation. Living in encampments wherever they are is going to make no change and damage the life of most of the communities. I moved to Los Angeles in 1969, on the Westside, and have lived here raising my family, doing business and it was a paradise, no more with these ideas and policies that are not well thought out, but appear to be helping a few, but really are not helping to change a problem we have in the community.

Communication from Public

Name: Mary Fisher

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 08:57 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles. 2. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. 3. I demand permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. 4. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 5. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name: Emily

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 09:16 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles. 2. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. 3. I demand permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. 4. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 5. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name: Guthrie Widman

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 09:17 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles. 2. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. 3. I demand permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. 4. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 5. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name: Cheryl M Pokomo

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 09:34 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles. 2. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. 3. I demand permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. 4. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 5. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers. Cheryl M. Pokomo

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 07:38 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles. Actually we are in low income housing directly adjacent to proposed site and have very little security. We are seniors, mostly living alone, with need to keep doors open as we have insufficient electric current to support air conditioning. This is a terrible idea to mix two very different at-risk groups. 2. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. 3. I demand permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. 4. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 5. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name: Thomas Knowles

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 06:15 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles. 2. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. 3. I demand permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. 4. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 5. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name: Ron Nathan

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 06:22 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles. 2. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. 3. I demand permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. 4. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 5. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers.

Communication from Public

Name: Mike Heffernan

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 06:28 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: Some thoughts and a proposal: The "homeless" don't fit a single uniform profile, thus being potentially unreceptive to "one size fits all" solutions, including just shelter. The reasons that these indigents became homeless are numerous and varied. Some are addicts, some are intellectually afflicted, some are physically challenged or sick, some are lazy, some have skills that are now obsolete or for jobs long shipped overseas...the list goes on and on. This is the very reason that I suggest a comprehensive hybrid solution, with professionally-directed evaluations of each and every indigent in the county, *once* we have conceived and created a variety of end-point treatments and treatment places that can address most of these issues. Local projects, like Roomkey, et al, are suited for those who are determined to have potential for self-support. My Palmdale proposal, described below, would be specifically and carefully designed to care for/treat/rehab/educate/train and/or just plain old house those who are *professionally* determined to be unable to support themselves. This is, I think, a viable, realistic (but expensive, yes) solution. Some civil liberties will have to be suspended in many cases; I believe there will be instances where a higher authority needs to step in and manage many of their lives. But look at the result currently of honoring those civil liberties. Watching people "live" in rags and trash, seeing them walk down the middle of streets ranting and raving or peeing on the street, spending all day and all night day in and day out sitting on curbs and bus benches, staring into space or accosting others. Presently, virtually our only treatments are 5150 72-hour holds. This cannot be sustainable. I am not suggesting that we stuff indigents into some remote penal camp; rather. As I said earlier, I believe that there is no stereotypical "homeless person," but that it is possible to objectively evaluate and categorize most homeless and determine what might be the most ideal support approach for each. Yes, those who are homeless and working or aren't candidates for a Palmdale. They are candidates for something resembling a "living wage," housing subsidies, and low key support services as needed. But there is also a sizable contingent of indigents on the streets who are not now nor probably ever will be able to support themselves for a large variety of reasons. My proposal for Palmdale (actually, the land is outside the city limits there) is

basically two "cities," one for housing/training/support/rehab/etc. for the residents themselves, and a companion city housing the legions of support staff required. Why Palmdale? L.A. bought 17,000 acres of land in the 80's for a second airport, obviously never built. But the land is developable, is vacant, and is wholly-owned by the city. Truly forward thinking would include rapid transit to LA and the valley (also planned for that airport). The incremental steps that are being taken today are absolutely essential and must continue; but I am convinced that, without a "grand plan" and visionary leadership, our society will be unable to prevent this crisis from continuing and growing even further in the future.

Communication from Public

Name: Keith Everett

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 07:03 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: I am all in to assist the homeless and we pay a lot of taxes to help the residents who have fell on hard times. However, this measure is not the right way. Our areas have more than their share of homeless residents. This sort of plan should be spread out equally to every LA zip code and proportion the homeless in every are by their population . Every city zipcode should take their fair share of this awful situation. On my street alone, on Glencoe , I can t walk my grandchildren without be accosted by the homeless .. I have worked on the del rey council , I have counted the homeless population for govt funding .. I have sympathy but enough is enough for our zip code .. Place them in Beverly Hills and other high end areas also. All LA zipcodes should have a proportion of the homeless , including Lancaster and palmdale , etc....

Communication from Public

Name: Robin Weitz

Date Submitted: 04/14/2021 07:06 PM

Council File No: 21-0350

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I am a resident of Los Angeles. 2. I OPPOSE the use of Los Angeles public parks and beaches for housing the homeless. These public spaces should be kept clean and safe for the recreation of tax paying citizens and their families. 3. I demand permanent campuses be built for the care and shelter of the homeless, at scale, and with full public approval. 4. I suggest a homeless community center be set up at the once proposed LAX site in Palmdale, where there is room to operate medical, vocational training, psychological counseling and drug rehabilitation facilities to be set up. This benefits the homeless community and protects residents of Los Angeles. It will take care of current homeless while dissuading homeless from across the country from coming to our streets to live. The City must also enforce vagrancy, outdoor camping and illegal parking regulations to preserve, or should I say restore, the quality of life in Los Angeles, which has now become "Los Homeless". 5. VOTE NO on Motion 21-0350 5. 6. The homeless population needs to be documented, and triaged into the areas they need the help. 7. I do not want people living in their cars or on the sidewalk, or panhandling - it is not a way of life. Thank you for listening to your taxpayers.